

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COM

Patent and Trademark Office

Marington Difference of the Control of the Control

11

APPLIANT FRING CAT

...

EXAMINER

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	. :	
•		09/592,124	KRIVOKAPIC. ZORAN		
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Long Pham	2823		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	Perpensive to accommunication (a) filed as				
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on				
2a) 📋	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4)[>	Claim(s) $1-16$ is/are pending in the application	١.			
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-8 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)☑ Claim(s) <u>9-16</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requireme	ent.		
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	I. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receive	d.		
-	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been receive	d in Application No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
Patent and Trac	emark Öffice				

Application/Control Number: 09/592,124

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of claims 9-16 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dennison et al. (US '854).

Dennison teaches a system forming a channel device comprising (see figures 1-5 and col. 2, line 15 to col. 5, line 25):

means for providing one active region comprises three gate structures 16, 20, 24 on a substrate 12; and

means for providing an ion implantation in the substrate, wherein means for providing the ion implantation further comprises means 38 for masking the gate structure before the ion implantation and wherein the ion implantation comprises a lightly doped drain 42 implant and wherein the ion implantation further comprises a halo or pocket 44 implant and wherein the gate structures are inherently connected to gate voltage source.

Application/Control Number: 09/592,124 Page 3

Art Unit: 2823

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dennison et al. (US '854) as applied to claims 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, and 16 above, and further in view of the following remarks.

Dennison teaches each of the three gate structures comprises a channel length and disposed at a distance apart, but fails to teach the range for the channel length and separated distance as recited in present claim 12.

However, it would have been obvious to *one of <u>ordinary skill</u> in the art of making semiconductor devices* to determine the workable or optimal range for the channel length and separated distance through routine experimentation and optimization to obtain optimal or desired device performance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long Pham whose telephone number is 703-308-1092. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on 703-308-4918. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-4082 for regular communications and 703-746-4082 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/592,124

Art Unit: 2823

Page 4

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Long Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2823

L.P.

October 18, 2001